Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid

Default Service

For the Period Beginning August 1, 2008

Testimony and Schedules of John D. Warshaw

June 13, 2008

Submitted to: New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Docket No.

Submitted by:

national**grid**

Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Docket No. DE 08-011 Witness: J. D. Warshaw

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN D. WARSHAW

Table of Contents

| I. | <u>Introduction</u> | .1 |
|-------|---------------------------------|----|
| п. | Purpose of Testimony | .2 |
| ш. | Bidding Process | .3 |
| IV. | Results of Bidding | .4 |
| V. | Renewable Portfolio Standard | .6 |
| VI. | Pass-Through Capacity Costs | .9 |
| VII. | Default Service Commodity Costs | 0 |
| VIII. | Conclusion1 | 2 |

| 2 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
|----|----|---|
| 3 | A. | My name is John D. Warshaw, and my business address is 55 Bearfoot Road, |
| 4 | | Northborough, Massachusetts 01532. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Q. | Please state your position. |
| 7 | A. | I am a Principal Analyst in Regulated Electric Load and Distributed Generation for |
| 8 | | National Grid USA Service Company, Inc. I conduct power procurement and energy |
| 9 | | supply related activities for National Grid's New England operating companies, |
| 10 | | including Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid ("Granite State"). These |
| 11 | | activities include the procurement of power for Default Service for Granite State. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Q. | Will you describe your educational background and training? |
| 14 | A. | I graduated from the State University of New York Maritime College in 1977 with a |
| 15 | | Bachelor of Science in Nuclear Science. I received a Masters in Business Administration |
| 16 | | from Northeastern University in 1986. In 1992, I earned a Masters of Arts in Energy and |
| 17 | | Environmental Management from Boston University. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Q. | What is your professional background? |
| 20 | A. | In May 2000, I joined the National Grid USA Service Company as a Principal Analyst in |
| 21 | | Energy Supply – New England. In my position I have conducted a number of |
| 22 | | solicitations for wholesale power to meet the needs of National Grid USA's New |
| 23 | | England distribution companies. I also administer both short-term and long-term power |

Introduction

1 **I.**

purchase agreements for National Grid USA's New England distribution companies.

Prior to my employment at National Grid USA, I was employed at COM/Energy (now NSTAR) from 1992 to 2000. From 1992 to 1997, I was a Rate Analyst in Regulatory

Affairs at COM/Energy responsible for supporting state and federal rate filings. In 1997,

I transferred to COM/Electric to work in Power Supply Administration

A.

II. Purpose of Testimony

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to request approval of the Default Service rates for the Large and Medium Commercial and Industrial Customer Group ("Large Customer Group") resulting from Granite State's recent procurement of Default Service power supply. To support this request, I will describe the process used by Granite State to procure Default Service for the Large Customer Group for the three-month period August 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008. My testimony presents Granite State's proposed Default Service rates, including rate adjustments, for usage on and after August 1, 2008, in accordance with the Default Service Adjustment Provision and Default Service Cost Reclassification Adjustment Provision of the Company's tariff, and the Settlement Agreement in Docket No. DE 05-126, approved by the Commission in Order No. 24,577 (January 13, 2006) ("Settlement Agreement"). I will also review the cost impact of choosing to pass-through capacity costs to the Large Customer Group in the October 26, 2007 RFP. Finally, my testimony will describe how the Company proposes to meet the Renewable Portfolio Standard obligation.

¹ Customers taking service under General Long-Hour Service Rate G-2 or General Service Time-Of-Use Rate G-1 of

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| | 1 | |
| | 8 | |

2

III. Bidding Process

- Why does Granite State need to procure Default Service for the Large Customer Group for the period beginning August 1, 2008?
- Granite State's currently effective Default Service supply contract for the Large

 Customer Group expires on July 31, 2008. Therefore, to assure that Default Service will

 continue to be available to these customers, Granite State requires a new Default Service

 supply arrangement beginning August 1, 2008.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 10 Q. Please describe the process Granite State used to procure its Default Service supply.
 - A. Granite State conducted the procurement of Default Service supply in accordance with applicable New Hampshire rules and regulations including Granite State Electric Company's Second Amended Restructuring Settlement Agreement ("Restructuring Settlement"), RSA 374-F ("New Hampshire Act"), and the terms of the Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission pursuant to Order No. 24,577² issued on January 13, 2006 in Docket No. DE 05-126 (the "Order"). Granite State and its retail distribution affiliates in Massachusetts, Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company (together "Mass. Electric"), (all three companies together "National Grid"), issued a joint request for proposals ("RFP") for certain power supply services (including Granite State's Default Service) from suppliers. The RFP sought a supply for

the Company's Retail Delivery Tariff.

² The Order granted Granite State's August 1, 2005 Petition for Post-Transition Service Default Service Proposal as amended by the Post-Transition Service Default Service Proposal Settlement Agreement filed with the Commission on November 18, 2005 ("Settlement Agreement"). Granite State's original proposal filed August 1, 2005 and the subsequent Settlement Agreement will together be referred to as the "Settlement Agreement" throughout my testimony.

Page 4 of 13

| 1 | | Granite State's Default Service, and a portion of Mass. Electric's Basic Service supply |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | | This is consistent with the process approved by the Commission in the Order. This |
| 3 | | process is also consistent with past procurements. ³ |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q. | Could you describe the nature of the RFP that National Grid issued? |
| 6 | A. | On May 9, 2008, National Grid issued an RFP to over twenty-five potential suppliers |
| 7 | | soliciting power supplies for the period August 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008. |
| 8 | | National Grid also distributed the RFP to all members of the NEPOOL Markets |
| 9 | | Committee and posted the RFP on its energy supply website. As a result, the RFP had |
| 10 | | wide distribution throughout the New England energy supply marketplace. The RFP |
| 11 | | requested fixed pricing for each month of service on an as-delivered energy basis. Prices |
| 12 | | could vary by month and by service - that is, the prices did not have to be uniform across |
| 13 | | the entire service period or between Granite State and Mass. Electric. A copy of the RFP |
| 14 | | is provided as Schedule JDW-1. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | IV. | Results of Bidding |
| 17 | Q. | Did Granite State receive responses to the RFP? |
| 18 | A. | Yes. Indicative proposals were received on June 4, 2008 and a confidential summary of |
| 19 | | the proposals was shared with Commission staff soon after. Final proposals were |
| 20 | | received on June 11, 2008. None of the bidders made their provision of Granite State's |

³ See Order No. 24,163, at 7 (April 25, 2003), Order No. 24,412 at 9 (December 22, 2004), Order No. 24,539 at 9 (October 31, 2005), Order No. 24,609 at 10 (March 28, 2006), Order No. 24,637 at 10 (June 22, 2006), Order No. 24,675 at 10 (September 29, 2006), Order No. 24,715 at 8 (December 15, 2006), Order No. 24,736 at 10 (March 26, 2007), Order No. 24,764 at 9 (June 22, 2007), Order No. 24,787 at 11 (September 21, 2007) and Order No. 24,810 at 10 (December 17, 2007).

| withess. | J. | D. | w a | rsna | ıw |
|----------|----|-----|------|------|----|
| | | Pas | ge 5 | of | 13 |

| 1 | | Default Service contingent upon the provision of any other service. A summary of the |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | RFP process and bid evaluation is included in Schedule JDW-2. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Q. | How do the current futures prices for electricity and natural gas compare to the futures |
| 5 | | prices at the time of the October 26, 2007 and February 13, 2008 RFPs? |
| 6 | A. | The futures market prices for electricity and natural gas at the time of the October 26, |
| 7 | | 2007 and February 13, 2008 procurements as well as current future market prices are |
| 8 | | shown in Schedule JDW-3. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Q. | Did Granite State select any of those proposals? |
| 11 | A. | Yes. Granite State evaluated the bids received and selected the supplier that (i) provided |
| 12 | | a bid that was conforming to the RFP, (ii) had the lowest price, (iii) met the credit |
| 13 | | requirements described in the RFP, and (iv) passed our qualitative evaluation. On June |
| 14 | | 11, 2008, Granite State entered into a wholesale Transaction Confirmation with |
| 15 | | Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc. ("Constellation"), the winning bidder for |
| 16 | | the Large Customer Group block, to provide Default Service to the Large Customer |
| 17 | | Group for the three-month period August 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008. Together, a |
| 18 | | Transaction Agreement and a Master Power Agreement provide the terms for the |
| 19 | | purchase of Default Service from a supplier. A copy of the Constellation Master Power |
| 20 | | Agreement was filed with the Commission on March 20, 2006 in Docket No. DE 06-115. |
| 21 | | The Transaction Confirmation between Granite State and Constellation, with certain |
| 22 | | confidential sections redacted, is attached hereto as Schedule JDW-4. |
| 23 | | |

| 2 | Q. | What is the Renewable Portfolio Standard ("RPS") obligation for 2008? |
|----|----|---|
| 3 | A. | As specified in the RPS law, the RPS obligation for calendar year 2008 is a minimum of |
| 4 | | four percent (4%) of Granite State's Default Service load, of which at least three and one- |
| | | half percent (3.5%) can come from Class III Existing Renewable Energy Resources and |
| | | at least one-half percent (0.5%) can come from Class IV Existing Renewable Energy |
| 7 | | Resources. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Q. | How does Granite State expect to satisfy its RPS obligations for this procurement period? |
| 10 | A. | Granite State is including in Default Service rates an RPS Adder of 0.108 ¢/kWh for both |
| 11 | | its Large Customer Group and Small Customer Group customers. This RPS Adder was |
| 12 | | approved by the Commission for usage on and after January 1, 2008, pursuant to Order |
| 13 | | No. 24,787 in Docket No. DE 07-012 (September 21, 2007). |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q. | What costs did Granite State use to develop its RPS Adder? |
| 16 | A. | As a proxy for actual RPS costs, the RPS Adder is based on the Alternative Compliance |
| 17 | | Payment ("ACP") for the Class III obligation and market prices for a similar product in |
| 18 | | another jurisdiction for the Class IV obligation. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Q. | Is Granite State proposing any changes to the RPS Adder at this time? |
| 21 | A. | No. Granite State is not proposing to change the Commission approved RPS Adder |
| | | included as part of previous Default Service filings in Docket No. DE 07-012. Granite |
| | | State had proposed to use the approved RPS Adder until such time as the Company is |

Renewable Portfolio Standard

1 **V.**

| | | er |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | contracted for RECs. In addition, the Commission issued revised ACP values for |
| 3 | | Calendar Year 2008 on January 31, 2008. The actual Class III ACP is consistent with the |
| 4 | | value forecast by Granite State in its previous Default Service filings and does not result |
| 5 | | in any change to the RPS Adder |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Q. | How did Granite State calculate the RPS Adder for 2008? |
| 8 | A. | As shown in Schedule JDW-5, Granite State calculated the wholesale RPS costs on a per |
| 9 | | MWh basis for both Class III and Class IV RPS components. The Company then |
| 10 | | converted the wholesale RPS costs to a retail cost by multiplying the calculated costs by |
| 11 | | the average Granite State loss factor and then dividing by ten in order to convert from a |
| 12 | | \$/MWh wholesale cost to a ¢/kWh rate for retail use. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Q. | What happens if Granite State's actual RPS compliance costs are different from that used |
| 15 | | in calculating the RPS Adder? |
| 16 | A. | As previously described in the Company's September 17, 2007 Default Service filing in |
| 17 | | Docket No. DE 07-012, Granite State will reconcile its costs to comply with the RPS |
| 18 | | with the revenue received from customers from the RPS Adder. RPS compliance costs |
| 19 | | will be included in the Default Service reconciliation which is filed as part of the Default |
| 20 | | Service filing to be made in March 2009. Since the RPS Adder will be added to rates for |
| 21 | | Default Service, the revenue associated with the charge will inherently be included in |
| | | revenue associated with Default Service. The Company will add a column to its |
| | | reconciliations for Default Service to identify the costs incurred that are associated with |

able to contract for Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs"). The Company has not yet

Page 8 of 13

purchasing RECs and any ACPs ultimately made. This will ensure that revenue billed relating to the RPS Adder is matched against costs incurred to comply with the RPS law If the actual costs to procure RECs are less than or greater than those used to develop the RPS Adder, any over or under collection will be included as part of the annual reconciliation.

A.

Q. How does Granite State expect to satisfy its RPS obligations consistent with the RPS rules as promulgated by the Commission?

While the details are yet to be worked out, the Company's plan will be consistent with the RPS law and the final regulations issued by the Commission. At a minimum, Granite State proposes to purchase the attributes of RPS generation, consistent with the RPS law. These attributes are available as RECs in the wholesale marketplace. Granite State's affiliates in Massachusetts and Rhode Island have satisfied similar renewable portfolio standards requirements by purchasing RECs. Granite State will first attempt to buy NH RECs in the marketplace, provided the offers we receive are consistent with our view of the market. Our view of the market will be based upon broker information and purchases National Grid has made in other jurisdictions for similar RECs. If Granite State is unable to purchase sufficient RECs to meet its NH RPS obligations, it will then, consistent with the RPS rules, make ACPs to the Renewable Energy Fund.

VI. Pass-Through Capacity Costs

- Q. In the October 26, 2007 RFP Granite State chose to pass-through the capacity costs instead of choosing the all-inclusive bid from the winning supplier. How did this decision impact the costs of providing service to the Large Customer Group?
- Granite State's decision to pass-through capacity costs resulted in lower costs to the

 Large Customer Group. As shown in the following table, the actual capacity costs

 incurred by Granite State were less then the costs bid by the winning supplier but higher
 than the costs forecast by Granite State.

| | | | | | | | | (e) | | |
|-----|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|----|--------|-------------------|--|--|
| | | | Large Customer Group | | | | | | | |
| | | Transition Payments (\$/MWh) | | | | | | Total Capacity | | |
| | | F | eb-08 | N | 1ar-08 | А | pr-08 | Cost | | |
| (a) | NGRID Forecast Value | \$ | 12.05 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 10.63 | \$ 716,241 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) | Supplier Bid Value | \$ | 11.63 | \$ | 11.70 | \$ | 12.08 | \$ 777,540 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) | Actual Cost | \$ | 11.43 | \$ | 11.35 | \$ | 11.79 | \$ 758,902 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) | Actual Monthly Load (MWhs) | | 21,232 | | 22,778 | | 21,863 | | | |

Notes

- (a) NGRID forecasted value at time of December 10, 2007 RFP
- (b) Value of ICAP in winning supplier's all-inclusive bid
- (c) Actual ICAP costs
- (d) Actual monthly wholesale loads
- (e) Sum of Transition Payments (rows a,b or c) times Actual Monthly Loads (row d)
- 10 Q. Why were the actual costs higher than the costs forecast by Granite State?
- The actual costs were higher because more capacity was supplied by generators and load response providers in New England than was forecast by Granite State. Looking at the total cost to provide service to the Large Customer Group, this increase in costs is less than a 1% increase. Granite State's preference is for all-inclusive pricing to provide customers with prices that are not subject to change due to market conditions beyond

Witness: J. D. Warshaw Page 10 of 13

1 Granite State's control.

2

3

VII. Proposed Default Service Rates

- Q. Please summarize the commodity cost at the retail meter based on Granite State's expected procurement cost and used to develop the proposed retail rates.
- Granite State estimates the procurement costs for Default Service at the retail customer 6 A.. 7 meter for each month to be as set forth in Schedule JDW-6. The simple average of the 8 commodity costs for the Large Customer Group is 13.827¢ per kWh compared to the simple average Default Service costs of 11.344¢ per kWh for the period May 2008 9 through July 2008. The commodity costs at the retail customer meter (¢ per kWh) were 10 calculated by multiplying the commodity costs at the wholesale level (\$ per MWh) by the 11 applicable loss factor and then dividing the results by ten. The applicable loss factors can 12 be found in the RFP summary in Schedule JDW-2. 13

14

15

- Q. What are the Default Service rates that the Company is proposing for the Large Customer Group?
- 17 A. As presented in Schedule JDW-6, the Company is proposing monthly Default Service
 18 rates for the Large Customer Group based on the three monthly contract prices contained
 19 in the supply agreement with the winning Default Service supplier for the Large
 20 Customer Group. These base rates are adjusted by the currently effective Default Service
 21 Cost Reclassification Adjustment Factor to recover administrative costs associated with
 22 Default Service in accordance with Second Revised Page 93 of its tariff. On line (3)
 23 these rates are further adjusted by the currently effective Default Service Adjustment

Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Docket No. DE 08-011

Witness: J. D. Warshaw Page 11 of 13

| 1 | | Factor in accordance with Second Revised Page 87 of the Company's tariff. Finally, on |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | line (4) these rates are adjusted by the currently effective RPS Adder. As displayed on |
| 3 | | line (5), the proposed base Default Service rates for the Large Customer Group are |
| 4 | | 14.815¢ per kilowatt-hour, 13.503¢ per kilowatt-hour, and 13.610¢ per kilowatt-hour for |
| 5 | | the months of August 2008 through October 2008. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Q. | How will Granite State reconcile any difference in costs associated with Default Service? |
| 8 | A. | To the extent that the actual cost of procuring Default Service varies from the amounts |
| 9 | | billed to customers for the service, Granite State will continue to reconcile the difference |
| 10 | | through a reconciliation mechanism pursuant to Granite State's Default Service |
| 11 | | Adjustment Provision contained in its currently effective tariff on Second Revised Page |
| 12 | | 87. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Q. | How and when is the Company proposing that these rate changes be implemented? |
| 15 | A. | Consistent with the Commission's rules on the implementation of rate changes, the |
| 16 | | Company is proposing that these Default Service rates become effective for usage on and |
| 17 | | after August 1, 2008. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Q. | Has the Company determined the impact of these rate changes on customer bills? |
| 20 | A. | Yes. The Company has provided typical bill impacts in Schedule JDW-7. For customers |
| 21 | | in the Large Customer Group, the bill impacts when going from the currently approved |
| 22 | | July 2008 rate to the proposed August 2008 rate are increases ranging from 15.2% to |
| 23 | | 17.2%. |

| 2 | Q. | Has the Company prepared a revised Summary of Rates tariff page reflecting the |
|----|-------|---|
| 3 | | proposed rates? |
| 4 | A. | No. The Company has already submitted a revised Summary of Rates tariff page |
| 5 | | reflecting proposed rates for July 1, 2008 in Docket No. DE 08-072. Upon receiving |
| 6 | | Orders from the Commission approving the proposed rates in Docket No. DE 08-072 and |
| 7 | | in this proceeding, the Company will file a revised Summary of Rates tariff page, |
| 8 | | reflecting the appropriate approved rates. |
| | | |
| 10 | Q. | Has the Company included the most recent quarterly report of migration information |
| 11 | | based on monthly migration by customer class and load, as required by the Commission's |
| 12 | | Order No. 24,715 in Docket No. DE 06-115? |
| 13 | A. | The quarterly report of customer migration information for the first quarter of calendar |
| 14 | | year 2008 is included as Schedule JDW-8. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | VIII. | Conclusion |
| 17 | Q. | When will Granite State issue the next RFP for Default Service? |
| 18 | A. | Both the Large Customer Group rates proposed in this filing and the current Small |
| 19 | | Customer Group rates expire on October 31, 2008. Per the terms of the Settlement |
| 20 | | Agreement, Granite State will issue an RFP for both customer groups in August 2008. |
| 21 | | For purposes of notice to the Commission, the following table illustrates National Grid's |

proposed timeline for the next two RFPs:

| RFP | August 2008 RFP | October 2008 RFP |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| RFP Issued | August 8, 2008 | October 31, 2008 |
| Indicative Bids Due | September 10, 2008 | December 3, 2008 |
| Final Bids Due | September 17, 2008 | December 10, 2008 |
| Contract Execution | September 17, 2008 | December 10, 2008 |
| Default Service Filing to Commission | September 22, 2008 | December 15, 2008 |
| Commission Order Needed | September 29, 2008 | December 19, 2008 |
| Service Begins | November 1, 2008 | February 1, 2009 |

- 1
- 2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 3 A. Yes. It does.